

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,316	06/09/2006	Patrick Barry Hook	8252/85857	6529
22242 7590 11/18/2008 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			EXAMINER	
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			NELSON, MICHAEL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) HOOK ET AL. 10/551,316

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MICHAEL B. NELSON	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Estrasons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 - If NO period for reply is a pacified above, the maximum statutory period in the property is appected above, the maximum statutory period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with period the property is appected above. The maximum statutory period period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with the set. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	ugust 2008.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	_						
		ted to by the Eva	miner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		\ (d\ == (f\					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/09/06: 01/20/06	5) Notice of Informal F	ater Lapplication					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patert Application	-
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/09/06; 01/20/06.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/551,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election, without traverse, to group I, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 08/28/08 has been acknowledged. Claims 9-24 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed towards non-elected subject matter and claims 1-8 are currently under examination on the merits.

Drawings

2. Figures 1a and 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,316 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(c), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shepherd et al. (U.S. 4,155,394).
- 7. Regarding claim 1, Shepherd et al. discloses a composite component of two engaging helical yarns of different materials (See Abstract, Fig. 1A-1C). The components are disclosed as having different elastic modulus (C1, L60-C2, L15). Shepherd et al. does not explicitly disclose that the resulting composite material have a negative effective Poisson's ratio or be auxetic; however, given that the two materials are helically arranged yarns (Fig. 1A-1C) with different moduli, when tension is applied to the composite, the higher moduli aramid yarn will straighten (C4, L35-55) and thereby cause the second component to adopt a larger diameter helical pattern

Art Unit: 1794

since the aramid yarn will become the core axis of the composite and the second lower modulus yarn will have to form its helix around that core component (i.e. via the same mechanism as shown in instant Fig. 3). Hence the structure of Shepherd et al. would exhibit "negative effective Poisson's ratio" characteristics to at least some degree.

Regarding claims 2-8, Shepherd et al. discloses all of the limitations as set forth above. Additionally, Shepherd et al. discloses that the two materials have different moduli, (C1, L60-C2, L15). As explained in the rejection of claim 1, the arrangement of the yarns in Shepherd et al., (Fig. 1A-1C) with different elastic moduli yarns, would result in the higher moduli, "first component," loosing its helical nature and becoming straight when stretched. Consequently the lower moduli material would have its helix redefined around the now straight first component yarn. The yarns are fibers and, when stretched, the first component, high-modulus yarn provides a core axis component for the second component. Stretching of the first component would increase the diameter of the second component helix and relaxing the component would cause the second component helix to reduce in diameter.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL B. NELSON whose telephone number is (571) 270-3877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MN/ 10/30/08

/Carol Chaney/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794